III.

SOUTHEAST OUTLOOK

THURSDAY, AUGUST 5,

2004

KINSEY Report exposes fraudulent sex researcher

CONTINUED FROM PAGE A1

legislative and media debate on marriage and civil unions," said former ALEC president Ray Haynes in the report's introduction.

The Kinsey data is cited in state law journals to support a multitude of provisions, which lessened or eliminated punishment for sex crimes, including: ➤ Legalizing prostitution (Maine, 1976)

>Trivializing boy prostitution (Duke University, 1960)

>Lightening all sex-crime penalties (Ohio, 1959)

>Expressing "beneficent concern for pedophiles" (Georgia, 1969)

>Rejecting judicial "condemnation of sex offenders" (Pennsylvania, 1952)

>Asserting that 95 percent of males are sex offenders (Oregon, 1972)

>Reducing and eliminating most sex-crime laws, including rape (Oklahoma, 1970)

>Ridiculing virtue, honor, chastity as unrealistic (Playboy's Hefner; Colorado, 1967)

And those are just the historical examples.

"As Kinsey intended," Haynes said, "contemplated in the current debate are calls for 'discrimination' laws to protect the full range of sexual activities including transvestitism, transgenderism, polygamy, bestiality and the like and, in education, whether to teach our children all 'alternate' sexual acts as normal, or to teach chastity and abstinence until marriage.'

Focus on the Family's manager of abstinence policy, Linda Klepacki, extended Haynes' argument by noting that the Kinsey data has impacted education as significantly as it has impacted policy.

"The basis of comprehensive sex education has been the fact that Kinsey 'proved' that we are sexual beings from birth," Klepacki said. "Kinsey's sex crimes have been covered up by zealous colleagues to further their agenda of free sex with any age at any time ... We see it everywhere today in our expansive media and in public school sex education."

Reisman noted that the Kinsey data has formed the basis for the funding and formation of programs such as the Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS).

The ALEC report is significant because it reveals the extent of Kinsey influence. The evidence in the document necessitates exhaustive reform on the state level, she said.

In December 1999, the ALEC Education Committee voted to support the report. Supporters of the study-including committee members Reisman, Melissa Perdue of The Heritage Foundation and Leslee Unruh, president of the Abstinence Clearinghouse—have joined forces to encourage every state to examine Kinsey's frauds, the effects of those frauds on state laws and a repeal of funding from programs substantiated by Kinsey evidence.

'Restoration of reliable and honest standards in our state laws will ensure more healthful and economically sound outcomes for generations to come," Reisman explained. "Only if enough legislators call attention to Kinsey's questionable findings can we start to reverse the misguided assault on American law and way of life through investigation, inquiries and repeal of laws and public policies based on 'junk' science.'

© Evangelical Press